

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/942,304 | 08/30/2001 | Robert de Sylva | 8214 | |
| 7590 03:03/2005 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Robert de Sylva 161 Ocean Park Blvd. #D | | | SAVAGE, MATTHEW O | |
| Santa Monica, | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1724 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/942,304 | DE SYLVA, ROBERT | | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Guilliary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Matthew O Savage | 1724 | | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | | | | |
| (1) Matthew O Savage. | (3) | | | | |
| (2) Mr. Robert de Sylva. | (4) | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 March 2005</u> | Time: | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: see Part I | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: see Part !! | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: none | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | |
| · | | | | | |
| M. Savey | | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) | | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the following amendments to place the application in condition for allowance.

In the declaration:

Insert the word -SUPPLEMENTAL-- before "DECLARATION" in the title;

In the first paragraph of page 1, change "6/12/04 and received into PAIR system 6/15/04" to -on 1/19/05--;

On line 3 of the second full paragraph of page 3, delete "approximately";

On line 3 of the first full paragraph of the last page, change "may also facilitate" to -facilitates---

In the specification:

On line 3 of the third paragraph of page 1, change "leeks" to -leaks--;

On line 6 of the second full paragraph of page 10, change "66" to -54--;

On line 3 of the second full paragraph of page 12, change "80" to -88--.

On line 6 of the second full paragraph of page 18, the reference number "82" should be shown in FIG. 6 (provide a proposed drawing correction along with a formal drawing).

In the claims:

On lines 1-2 of claim 5, delete "biased in an open direction" since no structure for biasing appears to be disclosed in the specification;

- 11. (currently amended) The system of Claim 1 further including [[an electromagnetic]] a heater coil disposed about said evaporation chamber[[, said electromagnetic coil acting as both a heater and an electromagnet, and wherein said fluid cleaning system includes additional channels that maintain said metallic contaminants when said electromagnetic coil is not powered]]. (to obviate the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112);
- 13. (currently amended) The system of Claim 12 wherein said evaporation

chamber includes an evaporation surface that is at least partially surrounded by [[an electromagnetic]] a heater coil and one or more spiral capillary channels (to obviate the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112).

15. (currently amended) An efficient fluid cleaning system comprising:

first means for changing the pressure of a fluid from a first pressure to a second

pressure, said second pressure lower than said first pressure and sufficient to cause cavitation of contaminants in said fluid:

second means for distributing said fluid within an evaporation chamber at said

second pressure via one or more spiral capillary channels and one or more cavitation jets to facilitate evaporation of contaminants within said fluid;

a [[an electromagnetic]] heater coil for [[simultaneously]] heating said evaporation chamber and [[removing metallic particles from circulation within said fluid]]; and

a filter for removing solid contaminants from said fluid, said filter surrounding said evaporation chamber; and

a space between an oil inlet and said filter to facilitate distribution of fluid about

one or more input surfaces of said filter. (to obviate the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112);

Cancel non-elected claims 16-20.

Mr. de Sylva agreed to submit an amendment including the obove changes.